

Priority Crimes:

1. Assaults Disturbances
2. Robbery

Rainier Henderson

May
2014

	Place-Based Risk Factors	What will we achieve if we reduce this PBRF? (short & long term)	Is it actionable? (Easy, Difficult but do-able, really hard?)	Really hard? Why? Can we take steps to implement? Can we overcome	Proposed interventions and Rating (=Achievability + Impact)	Considerations
1	Confluence of youth! School release times 5 nearby schools + transit access contributes to confluence of youth @ R&H as gathering place – RB CC, Library, Plaza, businesses etc.	decreased assaults and large fight disturbances	Variety of interventions available, difficulty will range from easy to difficult; Interventions discussed: Safe Routes to School collaborative (easy); Change School release times (hard); Activate Plaza during peak hours (moderate); RBCST intervention: Access to RBCC S Entrance limited until 4pm [Oct 2013 start]	Recommend implementing multiple approaches to change the dynamic	1.) Adjust school release time to reduce confluence of youth/Mod Mod	SPS has proposed earlier release for Southshore for 2014-15 academic year but better solution might be to change release time of other impactful school to later in day. Proposed earlier start at SShore may 1) may increase truancy rates at SShore, 2) increase youth's unstructured time in afternoon 3)research shows youth health & academic performance improves w/ later school start times, 4)family impacts must also be taken into account
2	After school many youth with unstructured time. Ratio of youth to formal or informal guardians @ R&H after school hours is high – School Security is not present	decreased assaults and large fight disturbances;			1.) Increase funding available for afterschool care/Difficult/Big; 2.) Art in the Park(Plaza!) + Engagement Specialist Mod/Big; 3.) train & provide stipends to be engagement specialists Mod/Big	
3	Many youth feel like the new RB CC was not built for them. Structured programs currently offered by RB CC not meeting needs of youth:	Decrease in problem/disruptive youth behavior in RBCC 4-9pm;	Some youth have "aged-out" of CC programs; some are not interested in <i>any</i> programs; Parks is surveying youth and may adjust programming based on survey results; Difficulty will range is easy to difficult depending on programs, resources and other factors RBCST intervention: SPD Off-duty Officer in RB CC 3-9pm [Nov-Dec 2013]			
	< Desired activities like basketball or swimming are limited due to other scheduled uses of facility - users from outside RB neighborhood				?????	
	< Entry fees may prohibit some local youth from access to RB CC programs/activities				?????	
	< Programs currently offered by RB CC do not appeal to many local youth				Based on survey results, Parks added more after school programs for Teens; RBCST intervention: start April 11.	

Priority Crimes:

1. Assaults Disturbances
2. Robbery

Rainier Henderson

May
2014

	Place-Based Risk Factors	What will we achieve if we reduce this PBRF? (short & long term)	Is it actionable? (Easy, Difficult but do-able, really hard?)	Really hard? Why? Can we take steps to implement? Can we overcome	Proposed interventions and Rating (=Achievability + Impact)	Considerations
4	Adults in community need to clearly communicate rules & boundaries and youth will follow	Increase in positive connections between youth & adults; decrease in fight disturbances; Increased youth outreach /engagement results in increased referrals to supportive resources/programs for youth & families	Some easy and underway: RBCST planning for <i>Code of Conduct</i> signage in RBCC. More difficult: Addressing unclear boundaries & rules in Plaza. Proposed, more complicated intervention: ID youth who enter RBCC to increase accountability	For Plaza: Parks exploring easement with Schools & clarifying responsibility for enforcement. Parks and SPS exploring CPTED including increasing mechanical surveillance of Plaza, Schools and	Interventions to activate Plaza will help address this PBRF.	
5	In the absence of adults setting clear expectations for behavior in public spaces (above), youth are trying to “claim” RB CC and plaza as turf for “Southend” gang					
6	Mix of R&H community (different languages & cultures, mix of public facilities with business & residential) makes forging connections challenging. Limits natural guardianship	Increased sense of being part of community, increase in communication across sectors, increase reporting of crime or suspicious activity	Moderate to difficult. RBCST provides framework for increased communication & collaboration between Parks & Schools. Possible to institutionalize & expand to include Library, business & residences			

Priority Crimes:

1. Assaults Disturbances
2. Robbery

Rainier Henderson

May
2014

	Place-Based Risk Factors	What will we achieve if we reduce this PBRF? (short & long term)	Is it actionable? (Easy, Difficult but do-able, really hard?)	Really hard? Why? Can we take steps to implement? Can we overcome	Proposed interventions and Rating (=Achievability + Impact)	Considerations
7	Historic image of R&H is place claimed by gangs with violent crime and drugs	Increase collective efficacy of RB Community; Improve media image of RB			Community Action: pledge to make RB a safe place for youth, teen focus group develop strategy for public awareness campaign, includes professional media sponsor, community art & marketing, clothing w/ branding, identify community & business sponsors; Action can be large - bill board signage & small increments - using positive language, smiling @ our kids, useing positive messages everywhere	Examples "Tunnels of Oppression" "Adultism" interactive public art; Could work well for 21-Day Challenge project
8	RB CC, Southlake & Southshore Schools, B of A businesses in Rite Aid Plaza have internal orientation – no site lines to street	Decrease in assaults and disturbances	Difficult but sense of role as "place-managers" could be increased by intervention #7; Increasing human & mechanical guardianship moderate to difficult			
9	RB CC shortcomings identified:					
	< Front desk is not oriented to main entrance (north) and has no site lines to south entrance. Staff cannot monitor entrances	Decrease in problem/disruptive youth behavior in RBCC 4-9pm;	Easy: install mirrors; moderate - more security cameras			
	< Design: Front desk does not allow staff to greet guests as they enter		Easy: start "greeter" program			

Priority Crimes:

- 1. Assaults Disturbances
- 2. Robbery

Rainier Henderson

May
2014

	Place-Based Risk Factors	What will we achieve if we reduce this PBRF? (short & long term)	Is it actionable? (Easy, Difficult but do-able, really hard?)	Really hard? Why? Can we take steps to implement? Can we overcome	Proposed interventions and Rating (=Achievability + Impact)	Considerations
	< Youth who use center are anonymous – no policy that youth need to show ID to enter		Moderate-difficult: implementing ID policy	Political, don't want to exclude youth, is a higher, city-wide policy issue		
	< No posted "Code of Conduct" or guides for behavior		Easy: in progress			
	< Staffing levels inadequate to numbers of youth using RB CC		Easy: extra staffing next 6-9 months; Moderately difficult: involve community volunteers or partner organizations to increase #s of adults have positive interactions/engaging youth			
	< Turnover of staff/adults don't allow staff to build relationships with youth		Staff turnover at RB CC stabilized			
	< Staff not trained/supported to reach out to youth, build relationships		Intervention planned: Community Engagement Specialist assigned to RB CC (temporary, date TBA)			
10	Playfields and tennis courts behind schools and RB CC provide easy places to hide and avoid detection (lack of lighting, site lines, guardians)	New design features improves sight lines & increases visibility; should decrease youth gathering to fight, use drugs & alcohol; also decrease vandalism [Construction commenced Dec 2013]	In progress		1.) Playground monitor for peak usage times 2.) signage with rules for parks play area usage; 3.) address whether school play areas are accessible to public after school hours, summer etc & signage & CPTED to support; 4.) adding or improving existing surveillance cameras	
11	R&H Plaza (aka to youth as "Fight Circle") shortcomings:		See discussion for PBRF #4 & #5			

Priority Crimes:

1. Assaults Disturbances
2. Robbery

Rainier Henderson

May
2014

	Place-Based Risk Factors	What will we achieve if we reduce this PBRF? (short & long term)	Is it actionable? (Easy, Difficult but do-able, really hard?)	Really hard? Why? Can we take steps to implement? Can we overcome	Proposed interventions and Rating (=Achievability + Impact)	Considerations
	< No delineation of Parks from School property. No signage for rules or "Code of Conduct"		See discussion for PBRF #4 & #5			
	< Buildings that border (Southshore & RB CC) do not have line of site to plaza (Uncertain whether Parks or Schools has any security cameras on plaza)		See discussion for PBRF #4 & #5			
	< Few organized activities taking place on plaza	displace negative activity like fights	Easy to moderate: Involve partnership with School/Parks/Community; Potential to expand through programming with Seed Arts, RBM and other community-based orgs			
12	There is limited communication between public facility managers & police re; planning interventions for youth & problem behavior (Schools, Parks, Library)	Increased interventions with at-risk youth and families, decreased???	Easy: In progress but need to institutionalize and increase involvement of SPS		Institutionalize twitter connection for campus safety institutions (Schools & School Security, Parks & Parks Security, SPD, Youth intervention specialists)	
13	Parks and Schools on RB campus have independent trespass, non-enforcement interventions and administrative systems for tracking. Reporting between schools and parks systems and to and from police is inconsistent (at best)	Relates to PBRF #12; Communication between Parks, SPS and SPD occurring at RB CST but needs to be institutionalized	Moderately difficult to create process & administrative system for collecting, tracking trespass and collaboration on non-enforcement interventions between different institutions. Involves policies of different public institutions & possibly legal/privacy issues			

Priority Crimes:

1. Assaults Disturbances
2. Robbery

Rainier Henderson

May
2014

	Place-Based Risk Factors	What will we achieve if we reduce this PBRF? (short & long term)	Is it actionable? (Easy, Difficult but do-able, really hard?)	Really hard? Why? Can we take steps to implement? Can we overcome	Proposed interventions and Rating (=Achievability + Impact)	Considerations
14	Rainier & Henderson are major arterials & transit hub with volumes of traffic passing through daily w/out any ownership of or connection to the place or people of R&H. Businesses & public facilities are set back from street & sidewalks	Relates to PBRF #1, 6, 8				
15	Cultural & language diversity & mix of business w/ residential buildings & public facilities (plus above pt) make it challenging for R&H community to connect as a "community of place"	Relates to PBRF #1, 6, 7, 8				